	·		
1	SEAN D. BAKER CO BAR # 25949 ANDREW M. UNTHANK, CO BAR #36832		
2	WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP 1801 California Street, Suite 3600		
4	Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 244-1800 Facsimile: (303) 244-1879		
5	Attorneys for Defendants Jungle Quest Franchising, LLC; William E. Gabbard; Kevin P. Hein; James S. Molloy; and Douglas W. Root		
7	Admitted Pro Hac Vice		
8		•	
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	Ian Inman, an individual; Richard Inman, an individual; Diane Inman, an individual; and	CASE NO. C 09-05584 CW	
14	Jungle Pulse, Inc., a California Corp.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
15	Plaintiffs,	JUDGE: Hon. Claudia Wilken	
16	VS.	FILE DATE: April 26, 2010	
17	Jungle Quest Franchising, LLC; William E. Gabbard; Kevin P. Hein; James S. Molloy;	TRIAL DATE: Not Set Yet	
18	Douglas W. Root; and Preti Flatherty Believeau Pachios & Halley, LLP,		
19 20	Defendants.		
21		_	
22	TO THIS HONORABLE COURT:		
23	SUBJECT TO COURT APPROVAL, Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD INMAN,		
24	DIANE INMAN, and JUNGLE PULSE, INC. ("PLAINTIFFS") Defendants JUNGLE QUEST		
25	FRANCHING LLC, WILLIAM E. GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN, JAMES S. MOLLOY, and		
26	DOUGLAS W. ROOT (collectively "JUNGLE QUEST") (collectively "the Parties"), through		
27	their respective attorneys of record, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE		

1	WHEREAS, PLAINTIFFS filed their Complaint in this Court on November 24, 2009	
2	("Complaint") alleging causes of action for violations of the California franchise investment law	
3	and the California unfair business practices law, fraud and deceit, negligent misrepresentation,	
4	breach of contract, and for declaratory relief against JUNGLE QUEST.	
5	WHEREAS, the PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST have reached an agreement to	
6	settle the causes of action asserted by PLAINTIFFS against JUNGLE QUEST in the Complaint.	
7	WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to a dismissal of this matter with prejudice.	
8	This Stipulation of Dismissal does not dismiss PLAINTIFFS' claims against Preti	
9	Flatherty Believeau Pachios & Halley, LLP.	
10		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
12	·	
13	DATED: April 23, 2010 Respectfully submitted,	
14	WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP	
15		
16		
17	By Andrew M. Unthank	
18	Attorneys for Jungle Quest Franchising LLP, William E. Gabbard, Kevin P. Hein, James S.	
19	MALLOY AND DOUGLAS W. ROOT	
20		
21	DATED: April 26, 2010 LAGARIUS & BOULTER, LLP	
22	By	
23	Robert S. Boulter Attorneys for Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD	
24	INMAN, DIANE INMAN, AND JUNGLE PULSE, INC.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - 2 -	

ORDER

Having considered the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Stipulation for Dismissal With Prejudice between Defendants JUNGLE QUEST FRANCHING LLC, WILLIAM E. GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN, JAMES S. MOLLOY, and DOUGLAS W. ROOT (collectively "JUNGLE QUEST"), on the one hand, and Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD INMAN, DIANE INMAN, and JUNGLE PULSE, INC. (collectively "PLAINTIFFS"), on the other hand, is **GRANTED**, and PLAINTIFFS' claims against JUNGLE QUEST are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 2. This Order of Dismissal With Prejudice does not dismiss PLAINTIFFS' claims against Preti Flatherty Believeau Pachios & Halley, LLP.

Dated: 4/30/2010

Hon, Claudia Wilkin